ANDY ORTIZ #QN-9897 SCI - SOMERSET 1590 walters Mill RD SOMERSET, PA. 15510

PROSE, ANDY OPTIZ

08/26/23

TO: CLERK OF COURT

JAMES A. BYRRE U.S. COURT HOUSE

EASTERN DISTRICT COURT

ROOM: 2609

601 MARKET STREET

PHILA DELPHIA, DA. 19106

RE: ANDY ORTIZ V. GiBSON, et al / ANDY ORTIZ V. BIACK Sheer, et al

Civil Action # 21-CV-3813 / Civil Action # 21-CV-3101

DEAR CLERK OF COURT:

ENCLOSED PLEASE FIND PLAINTIFF Motions.

1.) A MOTION TO DISMISS DEFENDANTS MOTION - 21-CV-3813. 2.) A MOTION TO REQUEST PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS - 6 21-CV-3813.

3.) A MOTION REQUEST FOR ADMISSION - ZI-CU-3101.

4.) A MOTION SECOND REQUEST TO PRODUCTION of documents 21-cv-31d)

STATING A CLAIM IN THE ABOUE - REFERENCE MATTER.

MR. ORTIZ PLAINTIFF STATES THAT DEFENDANTS FAIL TO RESPOND TO THE FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES OP Civil Action # 21-CV-3101.

> RESPECTFULLY Submitted, One yollowing

IN THE UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DIS	STRICT OF PENNSYLVAVIA
ANDY ORTIZ	
PLAINTIPF	
	OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS
Vo	MOTION TO DISMISS
	CIVIL ACTION # 2:21-CV-3813
C/o: Gribson , et al	
De Ferdant's.,	
OPPOSITION TO DEFEN	DANT'S MOTION TO
DISMI	55
	Compared to the second
(A) Count 1 Failure to Pr	otect claim against Defendants
Should NOT be dismiss Ber	cause: ectal.
1.) C/o: W. Bailey, C/o: E. u.	ouna, clo: Gibson, clo: Johnson
are moving defendants that	where on active duty on
the 7 am to 3 pm and 3 pm	n to 11 pm. Where Plaintiff and
cellmate was assualted mult	
cell with protective custody	status and was let out of
cell for shower, phone	ialls, out door recreation with
general population when,	Plaintiff and cellmate was
suppose to be seperated from	um general population.
	e where assizely and Plaintiff
cellmate was assualted by	
	o stop it but got assualted
but, not sexual in the show	
While on the days and Shi	at that was atoled in the
Considerate and allow to a	A THAT WAS STATES IN THE
complaint and other days	on both shift, where these
individual where assigned	on both shift where these to and on duty.
individual where assigned where grievances where fil	on both Shift. where these to and on duty. ed on these individual about
where grievances where fill Plaintiff and cellmate who	ed on these individual about
where grievances where fill Plaintiff and cellmate who	ed on these individual about
where grievances where file Plaintiff and cellmate who from the general population ignored and continue to be	ed on these individual about ere suppose to be seperated on But, guard I above defendants et general population out
where grievances where fill Plaintiff and cellmate who from the general population ignored and continue to be with Plaintiff and Plaintiff	ed on these individual about ere suppose to be seperated on But, guard above defendants
where grievances where fill Plaintiff and cellmate who from the general population ignored and continue to be with Plaintiff and Plaintiff and Plaintiff and Plaintiff	on both shift. where these to and on duty. ed on these individual about ere suppose to be seperated on. But, guard I above defendants et general population out ontife cellmate while already
where grievances where file Plaintiff and cellmate who from the general population ignored and continue to be with Plaintiff and Plain Knowing the nisk. See: Farmer v. Brennan, 5	on both shift. where these to and on duty. ed on these individual about ere suppose to be seperated on. But, guard I above defendants et general population out ontife cellmate while already
where grievances where fill Plaintiff and cellmate who from the general population ignored and continue to be with Plaintiff and Plaintiff and Plaintiff and Plaintiff See: Farmer v. Brennan, 5 where court held prison	ed on these individual about ene suppose to be seperated on But, guard I above defendants of general population out of FF Cellmate while already of the officers have a duty to
where grievances where file Plaintiff and cellmate who from the general population ignored and continue to be with Plaintiff and Plain Knowing the risk. See: Farmer v. Brennan, 5 where court held prison Protect Prisoner from viol	on both shift. where these to and on duty. ed on these individual about ere suppose to be seperated on. But, guard I above defendants et general population out office cellmate while already II U.S. 825 (1994) Officels have a duty to ences at the hands of
where grievances where fill Plaintiff and cellmate who from the general population ignored and continue to be with Plaintiff and Prison See: Farmer v. Brennan, 5 where court held prison Protect Prisoner From viole other inmates. Where, Plaintiff and Court inmates.	ed on these individual about ene suppose to be seperated on But, guard I above defendants of general population out of FF Cellmate while already of the officers have a duty to

89.2085

about the Serious risk and harm. When, Plaintiff & Plaintiff Signed onto protective custody for there Safety from being harmed by Andrew Mccormick and general population inmates, See: Hamilton V. Leavy 117 F. 3d 742 (3d cir. 1997)
where the disregard an inmate to go to protective custady and kept him in general population while, the officals knew the risk and harm. see: A.K. V. Anguaci, 17 CV 769 (VB), 2018 WL 4372673, 2018 U.S. District. Lexis 156455 (S.D.N.Y. Sep. 13.2018) 2.) Sgt: L. Crawford, LT: D. Spellman, Sgt: E. Heads. Jr Violated 8th amendment right. where, Plaintiff and Plaintiff cellmate was sign on protective custody. But, disgard Plaintiff and Plaintiff ceilmate to be remove from K-unit to Protective Costady unit which, was c- unit. Where, Plaintiff was forced to Stay on K-unit with the inmates him and his collecte where having issue where these supervisory liability knew the risk and serious See: Scott V. Mahlmeister where a Prisoner report to staff members about other inmates threaten him Scott v. Mahlmeister 319 Fed. Appx. 160, 161 (3d Cir 2009). where, Plaintiff and cellmate report incident to offical's that they where suppose to be separated from general Population. Which, they disregarded Plaintiff and Plaintiff cellimate where continue to be lead out with general population and later got assualted. 3.) LT. G. Malloy Violated 8th amendment to Failure to Protect. See: Scott v. Mahlmeister 319 Fed. APPX. 160, 161 (3d cir 2009). Where Plaintiff reported to LT: G. Malloy and Sgt: S. Granville. That Plaintiff was at a high risk of assualt and was receiving threating kites and wrote a memorandum statement about his concern for his safety on DI-Pod 4 and was later assualted when returning from P when, Plaintiff was transfer from P.I.C.C to C.F.C.F back to DI-Pad and was assualted By (2) inmates on the 1st day on the 7am to 3pm shift. Where defendant c/o:cL. Jones, c/o:ss. Robbinson where the active duty officals that day abandon there post. Along with C/O: M. Cooper

4.) c/o: Morris and c/o: Clark ignore the Fact that I stated I was receiving threats and that unine and feces matter was getting thrown at and under neath Plaintiff door. Where Plaintiff Stated to these two prison oppicals but, Still Continue to happen. 8th amendment right was violated on unsanitary conditions and failure to protect. While, Plaintiff was on c- unit the protective custody unit. Plaintiff was on protective custody Unit but, (P.I.C.C.) had General Population Confined on the Same unit at the time. 5.) Warden: M. Farrell and Habor: C. Martin and Commissioner: Blanche Carney. Failure to Protect and transfer Mr. Ortiz the Plaintiff in this action and his cellmate micheal witherspoon to Protective Costody in a reasonable manner. Where Plaintiff and Plaintiff Cell mate filed at grievance form and added these defendant to move both Plaintiff and Plaintiff cell mate to c-unit. Because, of the Safety and issues Plaintiff had with an inmate named Andrew Mccommick where a seperation was made. Plaintiff still had an altercation with Andrew Mccormick in Plaintiff cell. on the time around and After may - 18-20. Prior to that Plaintiff was stabbed in the head on around the month guards. Where these Offical's Knew the risk of Plaintiff and the harm Plaintiff had to deal with during that time Period. Where 8th amendment violate to Failure and to protect. Along with Supervisory liability Claim. Blanche Carney was the Commissioner of prisons and Major: C. Martin was the Major of 911 the guards and was in control of K-unit and (P-I-C-C)

was in control of K-unit and (P-I-C-C)

Warden: M. Farrell was the warden in Control of (P-I-C-C)

Controling the welfare and protection of all inmates including

Plaintiff that was confine at the Philadelphia - Industrail -

correctional - center.

moving defendants on 1st amendment claim to retaliation. Because & and ect al.

^{1.)} Sgt: E. Heads, LT: D. Spellman, LT: L. Crawford told Plaintiff and Plaintiff cellmate that the yard, Shower,

29.4 OF 5

Phone Priviledge's was getting taken away for writing grievances on Defendants clo: Johnson, Clo: Gibson, Clo: E. young, c/o: w. Bailey. See: Allah v. Sieverling, 229 F.3d 220, 224, 225 (3d (ir. 2000) See: Rauserv. Horn, 241 F.3d 330 (3d cir. 2001).

where in both these case Plaintiff was retaliate against for doing a protective conduct. Where, later priviledges where denied. See: Bendy V. Ocean county Sail, 341 Fed - APPX. 799, 802 -(3d cir. 2009) Filing a grievances is protective conduct and is protected by the 1st amendment right. On around or apter May -15-2020 2.) c/o: Johnson and c/o: Gibson where the officer's on the Tam to 3pm Shift came in Plaintiff and Plaintiff Cellmate cell around May -18-2020 and told Plaintiff that he would lose his yard, Shower, Phone priviledges for Filing a grievances. Plaintiff and Plaintiff cell mate was Confront By Clo: Johnson where Clo: Johnson Stated "next time we got him in trouble he will smack us with his walkie talkie! c/o: Gibson Just watch it / the incident Play out. Incident happen on K-unit. 3.) c/o: w. Bailey and c/o: E. young where the officers on the 3 pm to 11 pm Shift told Plaintiff and Plaintiff Cellmate to not ask for yard, showers, phone priviledge until they was to stop filling grievances. See: Bendy V. Ocean county Jail, 341 Fed. APPX. 799, 802-C3d cir 2009) 4.) c/o: Johnson and c/o: Gibson with held Plaintiff Commissary had Plaintiff Sign for the commissary but, never received the commissary bag. For keep filing grievance's, which, is a violation to the 1st amendment right to retaliation claim. Because Plaintiff filed a grievance which, was protected under the 1st amendment. Then was retaliated against individual and was never given his/Plaintiff Commissary bag that was purchased by plaintiff. Commissary bag was in the amount of \$50.00. For filing a grievances on May-20-2020. 5.) LT. D. Spellman and Sgt: L. Crawford, Sgt: E. Heads and Major: C. Martin that Plaintiff will be written

up for a false misconduct in retaliation to Plaintiff
uniting a grievances May-20-2020 and reporting incident about inmate came into there cell / Plaintiff
cell to assualt him.
which, violated 1st amendment night to retaliation and
Violation to due process and deliberate indifferences.
Moration to and process and deliberate marketerices.
(a) c/o: M. cooper violated Plaintiff 1st amendment
right, when he reported in the (PC) hearings
right. when, he reported in the (PC) hearings about the assualts and safety he / Plaintiff had
while, report this to warden Deputy: E. Cruz &
while, report this to warden Deputy: E. Cruz & Major: R. Rose. Where, later C/O: M. Cooper retaliated
against inmates for reporting incidents where,
against inmates for reporting incidents where, Plaintiff had his recreational priviledges taken
away.
7.) cell mate of Plaintiff Micheal wither spann will
like to be depose in this civil action.
8.) Demetris Thomas will like to get depose for when, Plaintiff was on C-unit and General Population
when, Plaintiff was on C-unit and General Population
was housed on the same unit. where protective
custody was located.
9.) Stanley nearell will like to be decase he witness
us being in Pontentiue Custada and K-init and being
9.) Stanley percell will like to be depose he witness us being in Protective Custody on K-unit and being lead out the same time as K-unit For recreation.
I HEREBY DECLARE THAT THE FOREGOING IS
TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY
ACKNOWLEDGE.
Dated: 0823 SIGNED: Charley Chiefing
PRINT: ANDY ORTIZO
ADDRESS TO: SCI - SOMERSET
1590 walters Mill Kd
SOMERSET, PA. 15510-000
Excuted at SOMERSET, PA

Somerset, PA. 15510 Andy Ortiz QN-9897 SCI-Somerset

ECETIVE James A. Byrre: U.S. Courthouse Eastern District of Pennsylvania Clerk of Courts, EDPa Philadelphia, PA. 19106 Room: 2609 601 Market Street AUG 3 1 2023

1910681799 COTS TIME TO THE TOTAL MAIL PADEPT. OF CORRECTIONS"